Page 1 of 6

Filed 11/29/2006

1 2 3 4		JRT OF GUAM Y OF GUAM	DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM NOV 29 2006 MARY L.M. MORAN CLERK OF COURT
5	JULIE BABAUTA SANTOS, et al.,	Civil Case No.	04-0006
6	Petitioners,		
	v.		
7	FELIX P. CAMACHO, et al.,		
8	Respondents.		
9		-	
10	CHARMAINE R. TORRES, et al.,	Civil Case No.	04-00038
11	Plaintiffs,		
12	v.		
13	GOVERNMENT OF GUAM, et al.,		
14	Defendants.		
15	MARY GRACE SIMPAO, et al,	Civil Case No.	04.00040
16	Plaintiffs,	Civil Case No.	04-00049
17	v.	SIMPAO PI STATUS RE	EPORT PURSUANT
18	GOVERNMENT OF GUAM,	TO NOVEM ORDER OF	IBER 27, 2006 THE COURT
19	Defendant,		
20	v.		
	FELIX P. CAMACHO, Governor of Guam		
21	Intervenor-Defendant.		
22			
23			
24	CONTILL DEDODO Fact Mari	/ELD SHIMIZU CANTO & Fine Corps Drive	ISHER TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC
25	PAGE 1 Case 1:04-cv-00006 Document 35:	Guam 96910 472.1131 472.2886	1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, Washington 98101-1332 Tel. 206.682,5600 Fax 206.682,2992

Document 359

Case 1:04-cv-00006

1	VAN DE VELD SHIMIZU CANTO & FISHER Suite 101 Dela Corte Bldg.
2	167 East Marine Corps Drive Hagåtña, Guam 96910
3	671.472.1131
4	TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC
5	Kim D. Stephens, P.S., WSBA #11984 Nancy A. Pacharzina, WSBA #25946
6	1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, Washington 98101
7	206.682.5600
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	SIMPAO PLAINTIFFS ' VAN DE VELD SHIMIZU CANTO & FISHER STATUS REPORT East Marine Corps Drive 1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle Washington 98101-1332
25	STATUS REPORT Has a same support of the same s

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21 22

23

24

25

SIMPAO PLAINTIFFS' STATUS REPORT

Page 3 Case 1:04-cv-00006

VAN DE VELD SHIMIZU CANTO & FISHER 167 East Marine Corps Drive, Suite 101 Hagatna, Guam 96910 Tel. 671.472.1131

167 East Marine Corps Drive, Suite 101
Hagatna, Guam 96910
Tel. 671,472,1131
Tel. 206,682,5600

Pax 675-472,2886 Filed 11/29/2006

Tel. 206,682,2992
Tel. 20

hereinafter "Simpao Plaintiffs," by and through counsel Van de veld Shimizu Canto & Fisher and Tousley Brain Stephens PLLC, to submit this status report pursuant to this Court's Order dated November 27, 2006.

COME NOW Plaintiffs Mary Grace Simpao, Christina Naputi and Janice Cruz,

Before the Court are three consolidated proposed class actions: the Santos matter, the Simpao matter and the Torres matter. Each seeks to secure payment of long overdue Earned Income Tax Credits (EIC's) for Guam's poorest taxpayers. The Court has now requested status reports from the parties to guide discussion of outstanding motions and a timetable for them.

Brief Background. Α.

The Simpao Plaintiffs have survived the government's motion to dismiss and obtained a summary judgment holding that (1) the EIC applies in Guam; and (2) class members' filing of a tax return constitutes the filing of a claim satisfying the jurisdictional requirement of 26 U.S.C. § 6511. The Court instructed Simpao Plaintiffs to move to certify the class and they so moved shortly thereafter (Simpao Docket No. 107). This motion was filed long before consolidation occurred and is still pending, yet the government has not responded to this class certification motion.

The Santos plaintiff never sought a Court ruling on the fundamental issues this case presents: (1) whether the EIC applies to Guam; and (2) what class of taxpayers can still recover. Instead Santos is on her third attempt to place before this Court a proposed class and settlement that has yet to pass preliminary scrutiny. Despite the Court's specific request that any further settlement proposals represent the results of global negotiations, the Defendant and Santos and Torres plaintiffs failed to include the Attorney General or meaningfully negotiate with the Simpao Plaintiffs in developing this latest proposal. The Simpao Plaintiffs have fully briefed

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Docket No. 227).

Simpao Plaintiffs' Proposal for Resolution of Outstanding Motions. В.

There are three issues this Court needs to resolve for these matters to move forward: (1) Santos' motion for preliminary approval of its third infirm settlement; (2) appointment of lead counsel; and (3) class certification. To accomplish these goals Simpao recommends the Court schedule a joint hearing on (1) Santos' motion for preliminary approval (and related motions) and (2) Plaintiffs' Motions for appointment of lead counsel.

Regarding the latter, Mike Phillips, counsel for Santos, has already submitted a motion to be appointed lead counsel and the Simpao plaintiffs have submitted a brief in opposition (Simpao Docket No. 236). Counsel for Simpao Plaintiffs request the opportunity to submit their own motion for appointment as lead counsel (not to exceed 20 pages per local court rules) and for any opposing party to be given two weeks to respond with a brief not to exceed 20 pages (per local court rules).

If the Court denies the motion for preliminary approval of the Santos settlement, the Court should set a deadline by which date defendant should respond to Simpao's Motion for Class Certification, or alternatively, by which date appointed class counsel should move for class certification. The Court should also establish a discovery cutoff date and deadlines for dispositive motions. Ultimately, this case should resolve itself on summary judgment (if it has not already). Should that not be the case, however, the Court should set a date for trial.

A summary of *Simpao*'s proposed schedule is presented below:

- Deadline for Simpao's Motion for lead counsel; 1.
- 2. Deadline for any Response to Simpao Motion for Lead Counsel;

24

25

1	3.	3. Hearing Date on Motion for preliminary approval and Motions for Lead Counsel	
2	4.	Decision on motion for preliminary approval and appointment of lead counsel;	
3	5.	Deadline for Defendant to respond to Plaintiffs' Motion for Class certification (or	
4		for appointed counsel to prepare and submit a motion for class certification), set	
5		for three weeks after decision is rendered;	
6	6.	Discovery cutoff date;	
7	7.	Deadline for dispositive motions;	
8	8.	Trial date.	
9	If the court denies approval of the Santos settlement and appoints Santos counsel as class		
0	counsel (or a class counsel committee), the Court may also wish to order a settlement conference		
1	be conducted before a sitting federal judge.		
2	Respectfully submitted this 2974 day of November, 2006.		
13	VAN DE VELD SHIMIZU CANTO & FISHER		
14		VAN DE VELD SHIMIZO CANTO & FISHER	
15		By: data	
16	:	James L. Canto II	
17		TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC Kim D. Stephens, P.S., Pro Hac Vice	
18		Nancy A. Pacharzina, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>	
19		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Simpao, Naputi & Cruz	
20			
21		ding motions to be addressed at such a hearing include the Joint Motion Of The Santos And Torres	
22	Torres Parties	eliminary Approval Of Class Action Settlement Agreement; the Joint Motion Of The Santos And For Conditional Certification Of The EIC Class For Settlement Purposes; the Amended Motion For And Costs Pursuant To Section II(a)(iv) Of The May 26, 2006 Class Action Settlement; the Motion	
23	Of Julie Babau	ta Santos And Charmaine R. Torres For Leave To File Joint Petition For Declaratory And Injunctive For Recovery Of Earned Income Tax Credits, Or In The Alternative For A Writ In The Nature Of	
24		the Amended Motion For Appointment Of Lead Class Counsel.	
25	SIMPAO PLA STATUS REP	Hagatna, Guam 96910 Seattle, Washington 98101-1332	
	Page 5 Case	Tel. 671.472.1131 Tel. 206.682.3600 e 1:04-cv-00006 Document 359 ^{2.2886} Filed 11/29/2006 Fax 206.682.2992 e 1:04-cv-00006 Document 359 ^{2.2886} Filed 11/29/2006	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, JAMES L. CANTO II, certify that I caused a copy of the foregoing document here filed to be served on the following individuals or entities on November 29, 2006, via hand delivery at the following addresses:

Counsel for Petitioner Charmaine Torres Peter C. Perez, Esq. Lujan, Aguigui & Perez, LLP Pacific News Bldg., Ste. 300 238 Archbishop Flores St. Hagatna, Guam 96910 Counsel for Respondent
Felix P. Camacho
Daniel M. Benjamin, Esq.
Calvo & Clark, LLP
655 S. Marine Corps Drive, Ste. 202
Tamuning, Guam 96913

Counsel for Respondent
Felix P. Camacho
Shannon Taitano, Esq.
Office of the Governor of Guam
Governor's Complex
East Marine Corps Drive
Adelup, Guam 96910

Counsel for Respondents
Artemio Ilagan and Lourdes Perez
Rawlen M.T. Mantanona, Esq.
Cabot Mantanona LLP
BankPacific Building, 2nd Floor
825 South Marine Corps Drive
Tamuning, Guam 96913

Page 6 of 6

Counsel for Petitioner
Julie Babauta Santos
Michael F. Phillips, Esq.
Phillips & Bordallo, P.C.
410 West O'Brien Drive
Hagatna, Guam 96910

Respectfully submitted this November 29, 2006

VAN DE VELD SHIMIZU CANTO & FISHER TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC

James L. Canto II Attorneys for Plaintiffs